

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 1**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy**  
**- Chief Executive**

**Members Interest**

---

Councillors are encouraged to consider the items on the agenda and disclose whether they believe they have a financial or non-financial interest in any of the items in terms of Council's Code of Conduct.

The attached flowchart may assist Councillors in making that determination (Appendix A from Code of Conduct)

**Recommendation**

**That Councillors disclose any financial or non-financial interest in any of the agenda items.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 2**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy  
- Chief Executive**

**Confirmation of Minutes**

---

Minutes of the meeting held on 24 June 2009.

**Recommendation**

**That the minutes of the meeting held on 24 June 2009 be confirmed.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL  
FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 3**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy  
- Chief Executive**

**Council Programme of Works**

---

**Recommendation**

**That the report be received for information.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 4**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy**  
**- Chief Executive**

**Matters Under Action**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Status</b>
October 2005	15 - Ikamatua Boundary Adjustment		In progress
November 2006	11 - Tourism Waste Management Strategy	Strategy endorsed. Final document to be developed.	In progress
	12 - Study of Effects of Climate Change	Discussion with other Coast Councils	In progress
February 2007	16 - Road Stopping Fox River	Notification required	In progress
May 2007	10 - WestReef Services	Letter written to Board about Reefton Depot	In progress
	11 - Abbeyfield Residential Home	Land transfer to be carried out	Subdivision complete. Transfer to be arranged.
	15 - SNAs	Proposed strategy to be followed	In progress
	11 - Umere Road Stopping	Road to be stopped	In progress
July 2007	13 - Bakers Creek Road Stopping	Road to be stopped	In progress
	15 - Flood Mitigation	Initiate more detailed cost estimates	Awaiting action from West Coast Regional Council
	13 - Council Investment Property	Report on options	In progress
April 2008	9 - North Beach Accreted Land	Local purpose reserve to be created. Commercial leases set. Expression of interest sought.	Awaiting transfer
May 2008	12 - Legalisation Denniston Track	Minister of Transport to vest track in Council	Commenced
	13 - Gifting of Land Towards Denniston Heritage Reserve	Land swap sought	In progress
<b>Date</b>	<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Status</b>
July 2008	12 - Legalisation Beach Road Charleston	Legalisation required	Not yet commenced
	13 - Flagstaff Access	Consultation with	In progress

		land owner required	
	16 - Water and Wastewater Services Punakaiki	SCP required after further work	In progress
September 2008	9 - Carters Beach Erosion	Consent granted	Not yet commenced
December 2008	13 - Utopia Road Speed Restriction	Review Brougham Street speed limit	Not yet commenced
February 2009	7 - Closure Unformed Legal Road (Deadmans)	Road to be closed	Not yet commenced
March 2009	16 - Breast Screening Bus	Meeting to be arranged	Meeting likely in August
	10 - Westport Airport Security	Cameras to be installed	In progress
April 2009	7 - Stock Movement Bylaw	Consultation required	Consultation started
May 2009	6 - Restricted Parking Palmerston Street	Consultation required	Consultation started
	9 - Class 4 Gambling Policy Review	Draft policy to be developed with working group	Commenced
June 2009	10 - Transfer of Solid Energy Centre and Westport Harbour to Buller Holdings Limited	Working group actions required	In progress

**Recommendation**

**That the report be received for information.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 5**

**Prepared by - Stephen Griffin**  
**- Manager Operations**

**Procurement Policy**

---

**Purpose of Report**

This report is for Council's information and provides information on changes to the procurement for projects that are subject to financial assistance from the New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA).

**Background**

The NZTA is changing the process on how contracts are to be awarded. The current process met the requirements of the legislation when they were developed but are quite restrictive in encouraging innovation and are aligned to cost drivers rather than value. The change in legislation the Land Transport Management Act takes a wider view recognising value for money. Road Controlling Authorities will be required over the 12 months from 1 July 2009 to develop their own procurement policies. These policies will need to be approved by the NZTA prior to the adoption by Council.

NZTA must approve the procurement procedures to be satisfied that they are designed to obtain best value for money spent keeping in mind the desirability of enabling fair competition and encouraging competitive and efficient markets.

The proposed procurement environment allows for:

- Value for money
- Strategic approach
- Informed decision making
- Increased flexibility and choice
- Closer working relationships
- Opportunities for innovation

Councillors will be aware that the road maintenance contract is to be retendered this year. The changes to the way in which contracts may be tendered has identified that Council could be disadvantaged if this contract was tendered now under the existing policies. The NZTA has also recognised this view and have advised Council that in order to prepare a procurement policy the road maintenance contract could be extended to 30 June 2010. The Council contractor WestReef Services have advised that they are willing to have the contract extended for this period.

The procurement policy that is determined as part of this process is expected to also form the basis of the renegotiation of the other maintenance contracts.

**Recommendation**

**That the report be received for information.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 6**

**Prepared by - Stephen Griffin**  
**- Manager Operations**

**Westport Water Supply Upgrade**

---

**Purpose of Report**

The Council is shortly to upgrade the treatment of the Westport water supply treatment facilities to comply with the Public Health Risk Management Plan and also the 2008 New Zealand Drinking Water Standards. This report recommends an immediate upgrade of number four tunnel and a comprehensive review of the existing supply. The purpose of the review would have an objective to determine a safe, consistent, secure water supply for the next 100 years for Westport.

**History of Supply**

The Council's water supply was originally opened in 1903, and while over the intervening years there have been a number of improvements including the addition of a second larger trunk main and treatment facilities the supply has stood the test of time. The supply was originally only to serve the Westport Borough Council however it was extended out to Carters Beach in the late 1960's and the Nine Mile area in the 1980's. The amalgamation of the Councils in 1989 resulted in all the county water supplies that were connected to the Borough system being accounted for together. The exception is the Nine Mile which is still stand alone on a metered supply. In 1985 the Borough Council upgraded the supply by building the current treatment plant. At the time the plant was state of the art and provided water that was classified as A grade. There was a collapse in the tunnels in 2001 which gave rise to a strategic review of the water supply by Connell Wagner. These reports were prepared to give an understanding of what the existing supply comprised of and also what were the other options. Council decided at that time that the existing supply was the preferred option into the future, the alternate intake by pump was considered good insurance for periods of low flow or if there was a catastrophic collapse in the tunnels. Unfortunately it was during the construction of the alternate supply intake that Council suffered its greatest threat to date on the water supply and that was the water shortage of 2006.

## **Strategic Approach**

The Council have been discussing a strategy for the district to the year 2050. It is important that the same degree of confidence that the proposals to provide for water have the same level of confidence.

The Council is embarking on an upgrade that will ensure the provision of a water supply that will conform to the 2008 Drinking Water Standards. Council will want to ensure that the infrastructure that is in place provides for a safe and effective water supply for the next 100 years. While the Drinking Water Standards will undoubtedly change during this time it is an opportune time to review the risks associated with the current supply to determine what vulnerability there is and if that can be allowed for in any design.

It is important that these areas are addressed prior to any upgrade to ensure that a holistic view of the water supply is done to show that the existing supply is capable of providing the water that the community expects over the next 100 years.

A review was undertaken in 2002 by Connell Wagner whose brief it was to investigate all possible water sources that could be used to provide a water supply for Westport. It was on the basis of this report that the Council invested in the alternative intake. This investment has been used on several occasions during this period mainly during periods of low flow to supplement the gravity feed but also if necessary when the tunnels had maintenance work undertaken. The alternate supply has been used in recent times while there has been a collapse in the number four tunnel.

## **Tunnel Collapse**

There have been delays while staff sought some advice from an experienced geotechnical geologist and tunneller. This report is attached for councillors consideration. While it is quite brief, it addresses the areas that staff was concerned about and is supplementary to the more extensive reports undertaken in 2002 by Connell Wagner. This report is to recommend further work being undertaken to review the past reports and to give direction on the options for the long term. If the existing supply is not the best long term solution, there will still be a time lag to provide engineering information and resource consents on any alternate supply options. This is likely to have lead time in the order of three to five years for design and construction. The suggested repairs by Geotech are long term options for tunnel four which is the tunnel that has caused the most concerns over recent years.

The cost of using the alternate water supply during this time is estimated to cost about \$169,000 per annum which means that if the tunnels are required for more than three years it is preferable to upgrade the tunnel in the interim.

## **Proposed Review**

The brief to undertake the review will need to cover:

- Investigating the current system which will include source quality and quantity, tunnel liabilities, raw water reservoirs - are these adequate in terms of volume and also should they be cleaned to reduce wave action contamination.
- Undertake an assessment of the two trunk mains and look at the risks associated with these in particular the pipeline down the terrace and the adequacy of the 14" pipeline. It may well be an option to replace the 8" pipeline so that there is a redundancy built into the system.
- Review the maintenance arrangements and contracts.
- Review the previous documents to determine if all the options for an alternate source were explored and covered adequately. Provide clear recommendations to cover continuance with existing supply and source, what are the upgrade options and costs, and discuss new treatment options and new source options.
- Recommend future operational requirements such as telemetry options for the treatment plant as well as intake flows, reservoir levels, pump operations etc.

Council staff will assist the successful consultant in preparing a public health risk management plan for the Westport supply as required by the Health Act. These plans are required prior to any upgrade options. Prior to undertaking the development of the plan the review needs to be completed to determine the source.

The review should be undertaken by experienced consultants who have not been involved in the supply to date so that there is a fresh set of eyes looking at the issues.

## **Options**

There are a number of options available to Council.

- The Council could consider that there has been enough work done to date on the existing supply to reduce the design brief to only determining options with the existing supply.
- The Council could undertake the reviews as outlined in the report to evaluate and determine a strategic long term approach.
- The economics of repairing tunnel four over continual pumping has a three year return period, so if the existing water supply is the final option to upgrade or it is to take longer than three years to construct a new supply then upgrade of tunnel four is economic.

## **Community Outcomes**

The provision of a safe, consistent, secure water supply for the next 100 years meets the health, safety, environment and economic outcomes.

## **Identification of Relevant Legislation, Council Policy and Plans**

The Council has requirements under the Health Act to provide a safe and potable water supply.

### **Assessment of Significance**

While this matter is very important to the district and the well being of its citizens it does not trigger the Council's significance policy.

### **Consultation**

Consultation has been undertaken as part of the LTCCP process, this report suggests a variation in the manner in which the upgrade to the water supply is to be determined.

### **Financial Implications**

The estimate for the upgrade of the tunnels will need to be included as part of the water supply upgrade. The extent and the cost of upgrading was not known at the time of preparing estimates. The cost of the review of the water and the design of the treatment options was included in the capital budget. The budget for the water upgrade has been based on an upgrade of the existing plant at Westport with a treatment process that will meet the drinking water standards. It does not include any estimates for a new water supply or the replacement of the trunk mains. The budget implications will not be known until the review has been completed. Any additional costs are likely to be loan funded and will impact on the targeted rate for Westport water. The costs associated with the tunnel upgrade are estimated at \$550,000 and the estimated cost of the review is \$50,000.

### **Resource Implications**

There will additional pressure on staff to provide a detailed brief and discuss the requirements with experienced consulting engineers. Staff time will also be involved in reviewing reports and arranging documentation. The review will determine the extent of the Council staff involvement.

### **Timetable**

The changes recently announced to defer the requirement to comply with the Drinking Water Standards by 2010 does allow some time to undertake the review. The Council could expect that a consultant could be selected in 4 to 6 weeks. The consultants review would be expected to take up to six months which would make for a decision at the earliest around February 2010.

### **Recommendation**

- 1. That Council proceed with the Geotech recommendation to widen and pipe the section in tunnel four that has collapsed.**

2. That Council undertake a review of the Westport water supply to determine the optimum long term provision of a safe and reliable water supply.

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 7**

**Prepared by - Bede Brown**  
**- Property Officer**

**Permission for the Erection of Structures on Unformed Road**

---

**Purpose of Report**

For Council to grant specific permission for the erection of three structures on unformed road associated with the Denniston Coalfields Historic area.

**Background**

Council staff have been working closely with the Department of Conservation (DoC) over planned upgrades to the visitor facilities associated with the Denniston Brakehead. As part of this development Council will be giving the Department of Conservation a Licence to Occupy over areas of unformed paper roads.

As part of the ongoing project management of visitor facilities at the Denniston Coalfields Historic Area, the DoC have identified a number of key projects essential for the development of the site.

One of these projects is the upgrading of the visitor track, leading from the car park, to the Brakehead. This track is necessary to allow visitors safe access to the main features of the Denniston Coalfield Historic Area. The track upgrade follows the direction of the existing track and utilises a small three metre wooden bridge to negotiate a fall hazard.

The DoC also plans to incorporate an interpretation shelter, which serves a number of valuable purposes. The shelter will afford visitors protection from the weather, provide excellent views of the surrounding features, and give important historical interpretation of the area.

The shelter is the focal point for visitors to progress to the next part of the site, which is a track leading directly from the shelter to a viewing platform of the Brakehead Incline. This will provide visitors with unspoilt views of the Incline and panoramic views of the surrounding landscape.

Overall, these projects make an intrinsic contribution to the major development of the visitor facilities at this historic site.

## **Location**

An overall site plan which details the proposed developments is attached. The parts affecting Council land are highlighted on the plan and are as follows:

1. The majority of the main track from the car park to the Brakehead will run on Council land (Dickson Street legal road). This will include a 3 metre long bridge.
2. The proposed site of the shelter is at the head of the old bridle path and will be on the site of the current access road (Elliot Street). The position of the shelter will still allow vehicle access to the Brakehead.
3. The viewpoint track is proposed to run from the shelter to the actual viewpoint, and will need to be built on Council land.

Specific Council permission is required for the DoC to be granted building consent for the three proposed structures on unformed road.

## **Identification of Relevant Legislation, Council Policy and Plans**

Building Act 2004  
Council Policy, Building on Reserve Land 7.17  
Resource Management Act 1991  
Buller District Plan

## **Assessment of Significance**

This matter is not deemed significant under Council Significance Policy.

## **Consultation**

Discussions have taken place between DoC staff and Council Planning and Engineering Staff.

## **Financial Implications**

There are no financial costs to Council associated with this development.

## **Conclusion**

This is a project to upgrade a current significant Historical Tourist attraction within the Buller District and the proposal to build three structures being a bridge, shelter and viewing platform on unformed paper road requires specific Council approval to allow a building consent to be issued in due course.

## **Recommendation**

**That Council grants permission for the issuing of building consents for a bridge, a shelter and a viewing platform, as described in items 1, 2, and 3 above and shown on the attached plan as A, B and C, subject to all requirements being met under the relevant legislation outlined in the report.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 8**

**Prepared by - John Rodger**  
**- Rates Officer**

**Rates Resolution**

---

**Setting of Rates for the 2009/2010 Financial Year**

That, in terms of Sections 23 and 24 of the Local Government (Rating) Act 2002 (“the Act”), the Buller District Council set the rates for the financial year commencing on 1 July 2009 and ending on 30 June 2010, as confirmed as its Funding Impact Statement in its Long Term Community Council Plan 2009-2019, confirmed at the meeting on Wednesday 24 June 2009, and as per the attached excerpt from that document.

**Due Dates for Payment of Rates**

That all rates will be payable in four instalments due on:

- Instalment 1 - 28 August 2009
- Instalment 2 - 28 November 2009
- Instalment 3 - 28 February 2010
- Instalment 4 - 28 May 2010

**Penalties**

That, in terms of Sections 57 and 58 of the Act, Council impose the following penalties on unpaid rates as such:

- A charge of 10% to be added on the day(s) following the due date(s) as above on the balance of any instalment that has been assessed in the financial year starting 1 July 2009, and which is unpaid by the end of the due date.
- A charge of 10% to be added on 1 September 2009 on the balance of any unpaid rates that have been levied in any financial year prior to 1 July 2009 and which remain unpaid after 31 August 2009.

**Recommendation**

**That Council make the resolution as above.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

## **Report for Agenda Item No 9**

**Prepared by - Angela Oosthuizen  
- Manager Corporate Services**

### **Buller Holdings Limited - Statement of Intent**

---

Council is required to adopt the Statement of Intent for Buller Holdings Limited on or before 30 June each year.

### **Recommendation**

**As specified in the minutes dated 24 June 2009 that Council adopt the amended Buller Holdings Limited Statement of Intent and Charter/Protocols for the year ending 30 June 2010.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 10**

**Prepared by - Angela Oosthuizen**  
**- Manager Corporate Services**

**Buller Recreation Limited - Statement of Intent**

---

Council is required to adopt the Statement of Intent for Buller Recreation Limited on or before 30 June each year.

**Recommendation**

**As specified in the minutes dated 24 June 2009 the Council adopt the amended Buller Recreation Limited Statement of Intent for the year ending 30 June 2010.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 11**

**Prepared by - Angela Oosthuizen**  
**- Manager Corporate Services**

**Westport Harbour Limited - Statement of Intent**

---

Council is required to adopt the Statement of Intent for Westport Harbour Limited on or before 30 June each year.

**Recommendation**

**That Council adopt the amended Westport Harbour Limited Statement of Intent for the year ending 30 June 2010.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 12**

**Prepared by - Rachel Townrow**  
**- Policy Planner**

**Class 4 Gambling and TAB Venue Policy Review**

---

**Purpose of Report**

To provide Council with the results of the review of the Class 4 Gambling Venue Policy and to request that Council initiate the Special Consultative Procedures of the Local Government Act required to make changes to this policy as a result.

**Background**

At its meeting of 28 May 2009 Council resolved:

1. *That Council appoints any Councillors that wish to be involved in this review to a working group to be co-ordinated by the Policy Planner.*
2. *That a proposed draft policy be provided to Council for consideration prior to public consultation at the July 2009 meeting or before.*

Councillors Barnes, Montgomery and Neylon were appointed to the working group.

The working group has considered the existing Class 4 Gambling Venue Policy, and feels that changes need to be made. These have resulted from changes to the legislation covering Class 4 and TAB gambling, and from issues that have arisen recently when Council has been required to use this policy. The attached draft Class 4 Gambling and TAB Venue Policy includes suggested changes.

It is noted that councils are required to have policies on both Class 4 gambling venues, and TAB venues. The current policy deals with TAB venues, but this is not reflected in its title. The name of the policy has been amended to better reflect its content.

It was felt that the current policy required clarification, especially around the process that would be followed when an application is made for Council consent, and the decisions that Council could make (for example, could a condition on an individual venue's consent specify a maximum number of gaming machines less than the maximum number specified in the policy).

The objectives of the policy have been maintained in the draft, with updated wording where necessary to reflect the overarching legislation.

The statement regarding the primary activity at premises where Class 4 and TAB venues may be established has been changed to bring the policy in line with the Gambling (Harm Prevention and Minimisation) Regulations 2004.

It has been suggested that all applications for Council consent, both for new venues and any increase in gaming machine numbers at existing venues (where the increase would take the venue above the number of machines it is able to operate), be publicly notified, available for public submission and determined at a hearing by full Council. Under the current policy, the requirement for public notification and a hearing only applies to existing venues when they apply to increase their number of machines by two or more, and to new venues.

The application fee for Council consent has already been set at \$200 for the 2009/2010 financial year.

The draft policy has been reformatted to better reflect the objectives and purpose of the policy. The formatting used in Part 4 of the Buller District Plan has been adopted. This identifies key issues and the relevant objective, then outlines the policy and method(s) of implementation required to achieve that objective. Explanations/reasons are then given to show how the objective will be achieved by the policy.

Advice received from the Department of Internal Affairs has indicated that additional changes need to be made.

The current policy contains restrictions on where gaming machines and TABs may be located within venues. The Gambling Act 2003 does not allow Councils to include such restrictions in their policies. These restrictions have been removed from the draft policy.

Information on proposed external advertising relating to gambling is currently required as part of an application for Council consent. Gambling advertising is covered, in part, by the Gambling (Harm Prevention and Minimisation) Regulations 2004. It is not included in the Gambling Act and Councils are not able to consider it as part of their Class 4 and TAB venue policy. This requirement has been removed from the draft policy. It is noted that all signage, including signage at gambling venues, is covered by the Buller District Plan, and by Council's policies on Advertising and Signage.

## **Options**

Council can resolve to do nothing, and leave the current policy as it is.

The preferred option is that Council initiates the Special Consultative Procedures (SCP) found in s83 of the Local Government Act (LGA) to seek feedback from the community, using the Draft Class 4 Gambling and TAB Venue Policy as a starting point.

As part of the SCP, feedback will be specifically sought on whether or not to introduce a cap on the number of venues and/or gaming machines allowed to operate in Buller, and whether or not publicly notifying applications for Council consent, and deciding applications at a public hearing of full Council, goes far enough towards facilitating community involvement in the decision making process around Class 4 and TAB gambling in Buller.

### **Identification of Relevant Legislation, Council Policy and Plans**

Councils are required to have a policy on Class 4 gambling ('pokie' machines) and TAB venues. These requirements are found in s101 of the Gambling Act 2003 and s65D of the Racing Act 2003 respectively.

These Acts specify what councils can take into account in their policies.

The policy must specify whether or not Class 4 venues and TAB venues may be established in the district and, if so, where they may be located.

In adopting the policies, council must have regard to the social impact of gambling in its district. Information on the impacts of gambling in the Buller can be read from the attached report.

### **Assessment of Significance**

This matter is not deemed significant under the Council's Significance Policy.

### **Consultation**

If changes are to be made to the current policy, the (SCP) in the Local Government Act must be followed.

There will be an opportunity for written submissions to be made on the draft policy,

### **Resource Implications**

There will be advertising costs associated with public notification of the SCP.

Staff time will be required to prepare documents for the SCP and to collate submissions.

Councillors' time will be required to conduct a hearing and make a decision on proposed changes to the policy.

### **Conclusion**

The working group believes that changes need to be made to the Class 4 Gambling and TAB Venue Policy.

It is the working group's opinion that the SCP should be undertaken, using the attached draft policy as a basis for receiving feedback from the community.

## **Recommendation**

**That Council resolves to initiate the Special Consultative Procedure in the Local Government Act, using the Draft Class 4 Gambling and TAB Venue Policy as the basis for seeking feedback.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 13**

**Prepared by - Craig Scanlon**  
**- Manager Community and Environment**

**Annual Grants to Volunteer Rural Fire Forces**

---

**Purpose of Report**

To consider requests for annual operational grants from Volunteer Rural Fire Forces (VRFF).

**Background**

Council allocated \$11,500 in this year's budget to provide funding for equipment and operations to VRFF.

The entire grants fund remains intact as there have been no requests for extraordinary assistance from the VRFFs during the financial year.

Two of the three VRFFs in the Buller district zone which are Inangahua Junction, Seddonville and Charleston have submitted their estimated operating expenses for the coming year. To date Charleston have not. These estimates are attached.

Last year's annual grants were:

Charleston	\$2,900
Inangahua Junction	\$4,400
Seddonville	\$3,700

Based on the applications and review of balance sheets, it is recommended to make the following grants:

Charleston	\$2,500
Inangahua Junction	\$4,330
Seddonville	\$4,670

The estimated costs for Seddonville include extraordinary expenditure in because of the need to purchase new fire helmets to comply with safety requirements.

**Recommendation**

**That the following grants be made to the Volunteer Rural Fire Forces:**

<b>Charleston</b>	<b>\$2,500</b>
<b>Inangahua Junction</b>	<b>\$4,330</b>
<b>Seddonville</b>	<b>\$4,670</b>



**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 14**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy**  
**- Chief Executive**

**Correspondence Received**

---

- 1. Waitakere City Council**  
Mayors for Peace
- 2. Minister for the Environment**  
Resource Management Act
- 3. Tai Poutini Polytechnic**  
2009 Regional Facilitation of Tertiary Education

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL  
FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 15**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy  
- Chief Executive**

**Public Forum Response**

---

**Recommendation**

**That Council decide what if any response will be given to those persons making a presentation at the public forum.**

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 16**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy**  
**- Chief Executive**

**Publications Received**

---

Tourism West Coast - Agenda Annual General Meeting 8 July 2009

**BULLER DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**FOR THE MEETING OF 22 JULY 2009**

**Report for Agenda Item No 17**

**Prepared by - Gary Murphy**  
**- Chief Executive**

**Public Excluded**

---

Section 48, Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.

**Recommendation:**

<b>Item No</b>	<b>Minutes/Report of:</b>	<b>General Subject</b>	<b>Reason for Passing Resolution Section 7 LGOIMA 1987</b>
1	Chief Executive - Gary Murphy	Buller Port Services Management Contract	Section 7(2)(j) - Enable the local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)
2	Chief Executive - Gary Murphy	Possible Property Acquisition	Section 7(2)(j) - Enable the local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)
3	Manager Corporate Services - Angela Oosthuizen	Protocols and Charter - Buller Holdings Limited	Section 7(2)(j) - Enable the local authority holding the information to carry on, without prejudice or disadvantage, negotiations (including commercial and industrial negotiations)

